19 40

Department of Revenue

OFFICE of the Board of Revenue (L.R.&S.

STATION Madras.

Res. Mis No. 1259

(J. 159/4

"

Dated ~~10~~ 5-40

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Allowances — Jari Brahmadayam — (South Kanara — Mangalore Tk. — Nos. 23, 29 and 87 — Arrear claim Payment — orders passed

Ref. C.No.2502/39/A5.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 5th January 1940.

9.15

From

A. C. Woodhouse, Esq., C.I.E.,
Collector of South Kanara.



To

The Secretary,
Board of Revenue,
M a d r a s.

93 9/111

Sir,

Allowances - Jari Brahmadaya inams - (South Kanara District) - Mangalore Taluk - Nos.23, 29 and 87 - arrear claim - payment - sanction solicited.

An allowance of Rs.24.4.9 attached to Jari Brahmadaya inams (Class IV) Nos.23, 29 and 87 was registered in the name of one K. Subba Rao and the amount was being paid under the Beriz deduction system. The inamdar failed to claim the allowance due to him from fasli 1341 onwards with the result that the allowance has been in arrears for more than six years now. He is a resident of Bangalore City and, being a retired Sub-Engineer, seems to have been indifferent in ---- claiming these amounts.

2. He has now come forward with his claim for the arrears. The amounts due for Faslis 1341 to 1343 were kept in Revenue deposits for subsequent payments and have already lapsed to Government. The allowance having been in arrears for more than 6 years should have been struck off the ------ registers under B.S.O.67-1(7). But this has not been done because in the meantime the inamdar put in his claim. Jari Brahmadaya inams are free from conditions of service etc. As more than 6 years' allowance is in arrear I solicit the sanction of the Board for payment of the amounts to the ---- inamdar subject to the usual deductions.

f. 444 Bk No. 69

For Collector.
8.1.40

M.D'S.6/1.

9 2245

Ref. C.No. 2502-39-A5.

South Kanara Collector's Office
Mangalore, dated 29- 4- 1940.

From

Sri Rao Bahadur V. Ponnuswami Pillai,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary,
Board of Revenue, M a d r a s.

Sir,

Allowances - Jari Bhahmadaya Inams -(South Kanara District) - Mangalore Taluk - Nos. 23, 29 and 87 - Arrear claim - Payment - Sanction - Requested -

Ref. 1. This Office Ref. C.No. 2502-39-A5 dated 5.1.40.

*****

I request that the orders passed on the report cited above may be communicated to me at an early date.

For Collector.

29.4.40

(F

Allowances - Jari Brahmadayam - (South Kanara) - Mangalore Tk. - Nos. 23, 29 and 87 - Arrear claim - Payment - ~~Sanction~~ orders passed

Mo 11/5 J.I.C.f Read I.159 from the Collector of South Kanara, Rev. Mis. No. 1259 dated 11-5-40

Issue 8/9/5

The Board considers that there is no special reason why the allowance should not be struck off the registers under B.S.O. 67-1(7). The pensioner, being a retired Sub-Engineer, is clearly not in need of it which accounts for his not having claimed it for over six years. The fact that he has now put in his claim does not prevent the removal of the allowance from the registers on ground of failure to claim for more than six years. The Collector may ~~is requested~~ to have the allowance struck off the registers, if he sees no special reason to perpetuate it. ~~and he~~ He is informed that in any case the arrears, in question need not be paid.

To the Collector of South Kanara 14/5

Adl 4/5 8/5/40

hrs 9/5/40

(J. 159/40)

Note file

M. & 2

J. S — Mangalore Tk. – Nos. 23, 29 and 81 – Arrear clan

A. S (Sett.) — Payment – Sanction

f.l.c.f — Read J. 159 letter from the Collector of South Kan

To A. S (Sett.)

The facts of the case are fully dealt with in the Collector's le...

f 444 Bk. No. 69.
× which is Rs 24/4/9 per annum

2. According to B.S.O. 67–1 (7) the allowance should have been struck off the register for failure of the inamdar to claim payment of the allowance for more than six years. But this has not been done; as in the mean-time the inamdar put in his claim. So there is no question of readmission of the allowance and payment of arrears though there was neglect on the part of the allowance holder to claim payment of the allowance in time. In the circumstances reported by the Collector the Board may sanction the payment of the arrears of allowance subject to the percentage deductions as required under Note

A
In which case why should the Board then apply to do with it? Ref.

444 -445 Bk. No. 69. 3 to B.S.O. 67–2. Jagir Brahmadayam inams are free from conditions of service.

For orders.

APRS 20/3. 27.3.40.

Has 28/3/40

To A.S (Sett.

Refer your Secretary's query at A"overn

If the allowance were in arrears for less th
six years, the Collector would have ordere
In the present case it has been in arrears for more than
its payment. It ought to have been struck
off the registers under M.S.O. 67-1(7). This has
not been done, as in the meantime the allo
holder put in his claim. In such cases i
practice is for the Collector to get the sanc
of the Board for the payment of arrears. Vide
the B.P. at (X)

AAB
15/4
9
15/4

Please see the remarks of the Commissioner at

J.S

A.S (Sett.) B.P.Pkis 3103 / 30-10-39

pt 2 Dn 1 of

2. In the case at (X) the allowance was payable for the maintenance of a mosque and its payment was subject to the condition that the institution was properl kept up and service was properly rendere during the period for which arrears of allowances were claimed. But in this case the grant of the allowance is for subsistence and ~~there is no question of~~ no service is attached to it, as Jari Brahmo dayam inams are free from condition of service. In the circumstances it is for orders whether the Board may sanction the payment of the arrears of the allowance subject to the percentage deductions as required under Note 3 to B.S.O. 67-2 or whether it may refuse to pay the allowance and instruct the Collector to have the allowance struck off under B.S.O. 67-12

ABJ 29/4

29/4/40

There is no special reason why the allowance shd not be struck off the registers under BSO 67-1(7). The pensioner, being a retd Sub Engineer, is clearly not in need of it which accounts for his not having claimed it for over 6 years. The fact that he has not

asked for it does not prevent it remaining on the register on grounds of failure to claim for more than 6 years: the Ld Master asked to strike it out called attention to the terms of the Seals No Special reasons for perpetuation were given may be told that in any case the removal need not be [illegible]

J.S
1/5/40

I.A.	A.D.S. and L.R.	Assistant Secretary: Land Rev.	Settle-ment.	Sep Rev.	Jt.Secy.	Secy.	M-4.	M-3	M-2	M-1

1. Open file seen by

2. Final draft seen by

3. Now circulated to

4. Initials. 2/10/5

Passed for record.

Secretary.
Joint Secretary.

A.S. (C.W.)

A.S. (L.R.)

A.S. (Sett.) 25/5/57

A.S. (Excise).

A.D.S. & L.R.

I.A.

Note:- Entries not required to be scored out.